

Six-month Follow-up Appropriate for BI-RADS 3 Findings on Mammography

Released: May 19, 2020

At A Glance

- Women with detected BI-RADS 3 breast lesions should have follow-up imaging at six months.
- Researchers assessed outcomes from six-, 12-, and 24-month follow-up of probably benign findings.
- At follow-up, 12% of the invasive cancers diagnosed within six months with node staging had spread to the lymph nodes.

OAK BROOK, Ill. – Women with mammographically detected breast lesions that are probably benign should have follow-up surveillance imaging at six months due to the small but not insignificant risk that the lesions are malignant, according to a new study published in the journal *Radiology*.

The Breast Imaging and Reporting System (BI-RADS) was established by the American College of Radiology to help classify findings on mammography. Findings are classified based on the risk of breast cancer, with a BI-RADS 2 lesion being benign, or not cancerous, and BI-RADS 6 representing a lesion that is biopsy-proven to be malignant.

BI-RADS 3, a probably benign finding, is a particularly challenging category that can cause confusion for physicians and anxiety for patients. This assessment means that the lesion is one of a few specific findings that has been shown to have less than a 2 percent chance of being cancer and that any cancer present is not likely to spread in the time frame recommended for follow-up imaging.

The interval for follow-up imaging has been a point of contention in the breast imaging community. Some earlier research suggested that malignancies were so rare in the BI-RADS 3 group that follow-up could be safely pushed back from six months to a year. However, much of that research was done before the advent of the National Mammography Database (NMD).

[download photo](#)



Wendie A. Berg, M.D., Ph.D.

"I thought that we should really look at this again now that we've got this large database we can work with and try to find out what happened with these patients," said study lead author Wendie A. Berg, M.D., Ph.D., a renowned breast cancer researcher at the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine and professor of radiology at UPMC Magee-Womens Hospital in Pittsburgh.

Dr. Berg and colleagues assessed outcomes from six-, 12-, and 24-month follow-up of probably benign findings first identified on recall from screening mammography in the NMD. The study group included women recalled from screening mammography with BI-RADS 3 assessment at additional evaluation over a period of almost 10 years. The women in the study group had no personal history of breast cancer and underwent either biopsy or two-year imaging follow-up.

Out of 43,628 women given BI-RADS 3 assessment after screening mammography recall, 810 (1.9%) were diagnosed with cancer. About a third had ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), an early-stage, noninvasive form of breast cancer. However, 12% of the invasive cancers diagnosed within six months with node staging had spread to the lymph nodes.

“The majority of cancers were diagnosed at or right after the six-month follow-up, so it actually is important to get these patients back in that six-month time frame,” Dr. Berg said.

The malignancy rates in the BI-RADS 3 group substantially exceeded those of women downgraded to BI-RADS 1 or 2 at each follow-up, further supporting short-interval follow-up imaging surveillance.

“The important thing about this paper is that these data come from a wide number of facilities across the United States, so it really brings to bear that, yes, this is the appropriate practice and yes, you still need to see these patients in six months,” Dr. Berg said.

Dr. Berg has also been studying how a personal history of breast cancer affects malignancy rates in women with BI-RADS 3 findings. Preliminary findings she reported at the 2019 RSNA annual meeting indicate that the malignancy rate could be as high as 15%.

“That suggests that we should probably be much more cautious about BI-RADS 3 findings in those patients,” she said.

“Cancer Yield and Patterns of Follow-up for BI-RADS Category 3 after Screening Mammography Recall in the National Mammography Database.” Collaborating with Dr. Berg were Jeremy M. Berg, Ph.D., Edward A. Sickles, M.D., Elizabeth S. Burnside, M.D., Margarita L. Zuley, M.D., Robert D. Rosenberg, M.D., and Cindy S. Lee, M.D.

Radiology is edited by David A. Bluemke, M.D., Ph.D., University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, and owned and published by the Radiological Society of North America, Inc. (<https://pubs.rsna.org/journal/radiology>)

RSNA is an association of radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists and related scientists promoting excellence in patient care and health care delivery through education, research and technologic innovation. The Society is based in Oak Brook, Illinois. ([RSNA.org](https://www.rsna.org))

For patient-friendly information on mammography, visit [RadiologyInfo.org](https://radiologyinfo.org).

Images (JPG, TIF):




Figure 1. Circumscribed mass at baseline screening mammography in a 40-year-old woman due to a fibroadenoma. **(a)** Craniocaudal tomosynthesis image shows a circumscribed mass (arrow), which was also evident on mediolateral oblique image (not shown). **(b)** Targeted transverse US image shows a circumscribed hypoechoic mass (arrow), a probably benign finding (Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System category 3). The patient preferred biopsy to surveillance, with US-guided core biopsy showing fibroadenoma.

[High-res \(TIF\) version](#)




Figure 2. Images in a 59-year-old woman with increasing calcifications at 6-month follow-up due to invasive and intraductal carcinoma. **(a)** Close-ups of mediolateral oblique screening mammogram (left) and mediolateral oblique spot compression mammogram (right) show a few punctate and possibly layering calcifications (arrows). Calcifications were not seen on magnification views, the standard craniocaudal projection, or prior mammograms (it is possible they were not included due to their posterior location). Calcifications were assessed as Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) category 3, probably benign, with recommendation for 6-month follow-up. **(b)** Spot magnification mediolateral (left) and craniocaudal (right) views obtained 7 months later show increase in the calcifications (arrows). Because of their increase, stereotactic 9-gauge vacuum-assisted biopsy was performed, showing multiple 1–2-mm foci of nuclear grade 3 invasive ductal carcinoma with ductal carcinoma in situ that was estrogen- and progesterone-receptor positive and human epidermal growth factor-2 receptor negative. Ki-67 proliferation index was 35%. There was no residual invasive tumor at excision, and four sentinel nodes were negative. The short delay in diagnosis of the few cancers classified as BI-RADS category 3 does not increase likelihood of regional spread to lymph nodes.

[High-res \(TIF\) version](#)




Figure 3. Flowchart of analysis set. Among the 108,811 women excluded because of insufficient follow-up were 64,417 (59.2%) who were examined between 2016 and 2018 with insufficient time for follow-up. BI-RADS = Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System.

[High-res \(TIF\) version](#)




Figure 4. Graph shows timing of resolution of a Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) category 3 assessment (number of the initial 43,628 women who remained BI-RADS category 3 as a function of time, solid line). After 12-month follow-up, only 12,881 women (29.5%) remained in surveillance. Overall, 4,894 women (11.2%) underwent biopsy (dashed line), and imaging for 35,325 women (81.0%) was downgraded to BI-RADS category 1 or 2 (dotted line) after 2 years of follow-up.

[High-res \(TIF\) version](#)




Figure 5. Graph shows cumulative malignancy rate over time among 43,628 women with Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) category 3 lesions at recall from screening mammography. Through 2 years of follow-up, cancer was diagnosed in 810 women (1.86%, solid line). This rate is less than the 2% malignancy rate expected with a BI-RADS category 3 assessment. Malignancy rate among women with lesions downgraded to BI-RADS category 1 or 2 was 0.54% within 12 months of downgrade (dotted line).

[High-res \(TIF\) version](#)

Resources:

[Editorial](#)

[Study abstract](#)

