An Automated CT Protocol Reformatting Program for Protocol Documentation and Review

Emi Eastman; Di Zhang, Ph.D., DABR; Yifang Zhou, Ph.D, DABR

Imaging Department Cedars-Sinai Medical Center



cedars-sinai.org



- The American College of Radiology (ACR) and the Joint Commission (TJC) require the ongoing review and management of computed tomography (CT) protocols by a team of radiologists, physicists, and technologists.
- Manually reviewing a single protocol across multiple scanners is a laborious task and is aggravated by the large amount of protocols on each CT scanner.
- For institutions with multiple CT scanners from different vendors, acquiring protocols and comparing parameters is complicated by vendor-specific naming conventions, variable protocol templates, and immutable documents.
- The purpose of this study was to develop a software solution that automatically reformats the protocol files exported from CT scanner consoles into a consolidated, vendor-independent format to facilitate and expedite the protocol review process.



Part 1: Methods

We proposed taking advantage of the exported protocol files (in either CSV or XML formats) to create a digital protocol book that is updated regularly.

- 1. A Python script-based program was created to identify and store values for the following parameters:
 - tube potential (kV)
 - tube current (mA)
 - automatic exposure control
 reference
 - pitch
 - minimum and maximum tube current (if applicable)
 - tube rotation time (sec)
 - collimation

- computed tomography dose index (CTDI)
- CTDI notification value (if applicable)
- dose-length product (DLP)
- slice thickness
- slice interval
- displayed field of view (DFOV)
- reconstruction kernel
- iterative reconstruction algorithm setting



Part 1: Methods

- 2. The program was created for and tested on protocol export files from multiple Siemens, GE, Canon (formerly Toshiba), and Philips scanners.
 - Each value was extracted from the exported protocol files, and calculations were automatically performed when needed to account for differences in reported parameters between vendors (e.g. Philips reports mAs, while GE reports mA).



Figure 1: (A) The protocol export CSV file from GE scanners (B) The protocol export XML file from Canon scanners (C) The protocol export XML file from Siemens scanners



Part 1: Final Format

Scan/Recon Type	kV	mA	AEC IQ Ref	MinmA	MaxmA	Rot (s)	Coll	Pitch	SFOV	CTDI	CTDI NV	DLP	Thick	Int	DFOV	Kernel	IR	IQEnhance	HiRes	GSI
ADULT PELVIS 8.7 PELVIS	w/o	IVC	ROUTINE																	Í
Scout 90	120	10																		
Scout 0	120	10																		
Helical Scan/Full Recon	120		11.57	100	440	0.8	16 x 1.250 mm	1.375	Large	8.5248	45	121.898	5	5	36	Standard	None	No	No	
Full Recon													1.25	1.25	36.0 D	Standard	None			No
ADULT PELVIS 8.8 PELVIS	w/n	VC RO	OUTINE																	
Scout 90	120	10																		
Scout 0	120	10																		
Helical Scan/Full Recon	120		11.57	100	440	0.8	16 x 0.625 mm	1.375	Large	13.5203	45	433.052	5	5	36	Standard	None	No	No	
Full Recon													0.625	0.625	36.0 D	Standard	None			No
Helical Scan/Full Recon	120		11.57	50	440	0.8	16 x 0.625 mm	1.375	Large	13.5203	45	433.052	5	5	36	Standard	None	No	No	
Full Recon													3.75	1.9	36	Bone	None			No
ADULT PELVIS 8.10 PELVIS	S witl	h SM	ART VIEW													1		-		
Scout 90	120	10																		
Scout 0	120	10																		
Helical Scan/Full Recon	120		11.57	100	440	0.8	16 x 1.250 mm	1.375	Large	8.5248	45	121.898	5	5	36	Standard	None	No	No	
Full Recon													1.25	1.25	36.0 D	Standard	None			No
ADULT LOWER EXTREMIT	Y 9.1	LOW	/ER EXTREM	ITY-KNE	E											r		-		
Scout 90	120	10																		
Scout 0	120	10																		
Helical Scan/Full Recon	120					1	16 x 0.625 mm	0.5625	Large	22.245	40	106.221	1.25	1.25	20	BonePlus	None	No	No	
ADULT LOWER EXTREMIT	Y 9.2	LOW	/ER EXTREM	ITY-ANK	LE															
Scout 90	120	10																		\square
Scout 0	120	10																		
Helical Scan/Full Recon						1	16 x 0.625 mm	0.5625	Large	19.0671	30	91.0467	1.25	1.25	20	Bone	None	No	No	
ADULT LOWER EXTREMIT			/ER EXTREM	ITY-TIB/	FIB															
Scout 90	120	10																	L	\square
Scout 0	120	10																	L	\square
Helical Scan/Full Recon	120	140				1	16 x 0.625 mm	0.5625	Large	22.245	40	918.163	1.25	1.25	20	Detail	None	No	No	

Figure 2: The final format





- 3. An additional Python program was written to identify changes between two sets of protocols from the same scanner exported at different points in time.
 - The program highlights any changes in parameters for each protocol, identifies protocols that have been renamed, identifies new protocols, and identifies the deleted protocols.
 - Both programs primarily use the Pandas library for data manipulation and the xlsxwriter library to apply formatting to the resulting Excel worksheets.

Scan/Recon Type	kV	mA	AEC IQ Ref	MinmA	MaxmA	Rot (s)	Coll	Pitch	SFOV	CTDI	CTDI NV	DLP	Thick	Int	DFOV	Kernel	IR	IQEnhance	HiRes
ADULT CHEST 5.11 CHEST ANGIO PE -25HU (Old)																			
Scout 90	120	20																	
Scout 180	120	10																	
Helical SmartPrep/Plus Recon	120		28	100	600	0.5	64 x 0.625 mm	0.984375	LargeBody	9.7267	30	395.213	0.625	0.625	36	Standard	SS30:Slice	No	No
Full Recon													2.5	2	36.0 D	Standard	SS20:Slice		
Full Recon													2.5	2	36.0 D	Lung	SS20:Slice		
Plus Recon													0.625	0.625	36.0 D	Lung	SS40:Slice		
ADULT CHEST 5.11 CHEST ANGIO PE -25HU (New)																			
Scout 90	120	20																	
Scout 180	120	10																	
Helical SmartPrep/Plus Recon	120		30	100	600	0.5	64 x 0.625 mm	0.984375	LargeBody	8.45	30	344.12	0.625	0.625	36	Standard	SS30:Slice	No	No
Full Recon													2.5	2	36.0 D	Standard	SS20:Slice		
Full Recon													2.5	2	36.0 D	Lung	SS20:Slice		
Plus Recon													0.625	0.625	36.0 D	Lung	SS40:Slice		

Figure 3: The protocol comparison program highlights the protocol changes.



Results

- The consistent, vendor-neutral format accelerates the identification of relevant protocol parameters, speeding up protocol documentation and expediting the review process.
- By maintaining an organized set of protocols with information directly from the scanners, we reduce the risk of human entry errors present when manually entering values into a protocol book.
- The additional protocol comparison program automatically identifies changes in protocols between two points in time, allowing the protocol management team to quickly review the changes for accuracy.



Conclusions

The program we developed saves the protocol management team a significant amount of time that would be spent by manually parsing the raw protocol outputs or entering protocol changes. The program also opens new possibilities for more comprehensive analyses of protocols across vendors and throughout time.

