Implementing Pediatric CT Protocols Throughout a Large, Diverse Multihospital Healthcare System

BC HealthCare

James R Duncan MD, PhD^{1,2}, Sumita Markan-Aurora MD^{3,4}, Timothy Street RT(R)(CT)⁴, Mandie Street RT(R)(MR)^{1,2,6}, Sonia Gor MPH^{3,4} Amir Momtahen MD⁵, John Niemeyer MD⁵, Michael Harrod CNMT^{1,2}, Jennifer Cave CNMT^{3,4}, Bekah Phelan RN^{1,2} ¹Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, ²Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, St. Louis, MO, ³BJC Center for Clinical Excellence, St. Louis, MO, ⁴BJC Healthcare, St. Louis, MO, ⁵Midwest Radiological Associates, St. Louis, MO ⁶Currently employed by Bayer Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA

SYNOPSIS

- Reliable and widespread implementation of best practices remains elusive 15 years after the Institute of Medicine reported that our health care system does not provide consistent, high quality medical care to all people¹
- In our enterprise, neither the Image Gently campaign nor installation of a dose monitoring system led to routine use of pediatric CT protocols
- A data-driven improvement initiative has led to increased compliance

BACKGROUND

Needed to improve imaging throughout a large diverse enterprise

- Optimal use of ionizing radiation as an improvement target
- Builds on awareness created by Image Gently campaign²
- However, needed to go beyond simple awareness and agreement³
- Use data to demonstrate measurable improvement⁴

Needed framework for driving large scale change⁵

- Burning platform: New Joint Commission⁶ & CMS⁷ requirements
- Guiding coalition: Radiology Clinical Expert Council
- Guiding vision: "Every child deserves a pediatric CT protocol"
- Focus on small wins: Monitoring use of pediatric CT protocols

Potential conflicts which could create barriers to change

- Diverse enterprise: Tertiary vs community hospitals
- Trust: Private practice vs academic radiology groups
- Organizational structure: Medical staff vs hospital administration

• BJC Health Care System has a Center for Clinical Excellence, that is intended to be a neutral territory where ideas from stakeholders across the system can be discussed and designed for implementation

- The Center for Clinical Excellence acts a "*trusted agent*" to drive quality of care through: Facilitating collection, evaluation, and dissemination of data and quality metrics.
 - Providing expertise in informatics, change management, and implementation
 - Driving horizontal collaboration across the enterprise



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the support of Bruce Hall MD PhD MBA, Bob McKinstry MD PhD, Christi Lappe RT, Richard Wahl MD as well as the Radiology Clinical Expert Council members, technologists, administrators, medical physicists and radiologists who participate in this project and continue to serve patients in our region.

		2	01	4
4		_	_	
	Radiolog Member and Adm BJC hos	gy CE ship in ninistra pitals	C Lau nclud ators	un es re
	System- conventi protocol unique p	wide (on sta s stan protoc	CT pr andar dardi ol nai	ot diz ize me
	Master (for enter	CT pro prise	otocol use	lis
	Dose ma installed collectin	anage at all g data	ment hosp a from	ita soita
	Compre dose ma provideo technica	hensiv inage I to Ra I staff	ve tra ment adiolo	ini pr ogi
F	Pediatr • Bas	ic C eline oplian	T Pr data	o i a (, /a:
	• Indi	cates	that	a

Barriers

Addressing barriers

- Provided data showing that all sites image children (defined as patients <18yrs old)
- protocols (Figure 4)
- Site visits for face-to-face staff education (Figure 2) • Frequent & specific feedback (Case Studies below)

Case Studies: Change management at two community hospitals (Hospitals 7 and 8)

- Educating the technologists (direct communication, group emails, department meetings, posting reminders and signs at the scanners) Monitoring local performance on a monthly basis by reviewing every
- pediatric CT scan to determine if a pediatric protocol was used
- Identifying the fallouts and investigating the cases, finding common underlying causes (e.g. patient age close to adult range, weekend and night shift technologists, etc), providing feedback to staff and appropriate training
- Increase monitoring frequency to a weekly basis, one on one interaction with technologists by their supervisors per case to change behavior and emphasize importance
- Providing positive feedback to the team by posting the performance rates and comparing our results with other centers within the enterprise
- Ongoing monitoring to determine if changes led to improvement

METHODS



RESULTS

tocol Use

- (Jan 2015-June 2015) demonstrated that is poor at most sites
- wareness, agreement and passive monitoring were not sufficient to drive change
- "We don't image pediatric patients"
- "We already use pediatric protocols"
- Lack of feedback and accountability

• Provided data showing impact of routinely using pediatric



Figure 3 (above): The fraction of CTs performed using pediatric protocols was calculated monthly for each site. As described in Methods, a series of different interventions have led to improved performance over the last 15 months at most sites.

Figure 4 (right): The observed DLPs for pediatric head CTs is plotted to illustrate the impact of using pediatric CT protocols. Baseline data shows that children, including many infants, were being imaged using adult protocols and this led to substantially higher DLP values than children imaged with pediatric protocols. While this has improved, it is still relatively common that older children are imaged with adult protocols







Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

DISCUSSION

Why the poor performance at baseline?

- Image Gently and other initiatives are just steps in a larger process
- Four steps to compliance with clinical guidelines³

- Difficulty to reliably achieve adoption and adherence with low frequency events • Pediatric patients are relatively rare at many sites. A worklist of 20 adults then 1 child followed by another 20 adults creates an inherent bias towards using an adult protocol when imaging the child
 - It is also relatively easy to justify using the adult protocol when the child is 16 years old and as large as an adult

Why is widespread implementation so difficult?⁸

- We glorify discovery usually at the expense of implementation
- We believe in the myth of natural diffusion since diffusion eventually works
- We reinforce inequity because inequity provides a competitive advantage

Why aren't we at 100% yet? What are the remaining barriers?

- Competing priorities and this task is given a low priority because "we don't image many children and the children we do image are often as big as adults"
- Can justify deviations such as trauma patients where age isn't known prior to CT

What have we learned?

- Need to collaborate across a diverse enterprise comprised of both academic and private practice physicians operating within a spectrum of rural critical access to tertiary institutions with a large medical staff community
- Importance of operational definitions
- Define child as any patient less than 18 years old at the time of the CT scan
- Define pediatric protocol as one containing the "-peds" suffix
- Principles of change management⁵
- Difficulty of implementation and spread⁸
- These problems are not new, Doris Lessing observed "I think when people look back at our time, they will be amazed at one thing more than any other. It is this—that we do know more about ourselves now than people did in the past, but that very little of this knowledge has been put into effect."

CONCLUSIONS

Reliable use of pediatric CT protocols is an important goal

• Challenging to achieve throughout a large, diverse enterprise

Importance of a robust improvement structure

- The BJC Center for Clinical Excellence served as a "trusted agent" to promote change across the system.
- Established the Radiology CEC as a neutral territory ("Switzerland")
- Collected and analyzed data
- "If we have data, let's look at the data. If all we have are opinions, let's go with mine." Jim Barksdale, CEO of Netscape
- Site visits provide face-to-face interactions with frontline personnel
- CEC meetings were a forum for feedback and celebrating successes

REFERENCES

- Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm : a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 2001
- 2. Goske MJ, Applegate KE, Boylan J, Butler PF, Callahan MJ, Coley BD, et al. The 'Image Gently' campaign: increasing CT radiation dose awareness through a national education and awareness program. Pediatr Radiol 2008;38:265-9. Pathman DE, Konrad TR, Freed GL, Freeman VA, Koch GG. The awareness-to-adherence model of the steps to clinical
- guideline compliance. The case of pediatric vaccine recommendations. Med Care 1996;34:873-89. 4. Provost LP, Murray SK. The health care data guide : learning from data for improvement. 1st ed. San Francisco, CA:
- Jossey-Bass, 2011.
- 5. Kotter JP. Leading change. Boston, Mass.: Harvard Business Review Press, 2012. 6. The Joint Commission. Revised Requirements for Diagnostic Imaging Services, 2015.
- 7. CMS Center for Clinical Standards and Quality, Revised Hospital Radiologic and Nuclear Medicine Services Interpretive Guidelines, 2015
- 8. McCannon, J, Keys to Effective Spread and Scale-up: Lessons Learned from Other Fields and Sectors available at https://youtu.be/xiN6Fr9tfRU
- 9. Deming WE. The new economics : for industry, government, education. 2nd ed. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2000.