

Adapting the Universal Protocol in a Diagnostic Radiology Department to prevent wrong-patient, wrong-site, and wrongexamination events

DD Droukas, MD – Lenox Hill Hospital, New York, NY AM Herder, MD – University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, MD AM Kantor, MD – Lincoln Medical Center, New York, NY B Kanna, MD – Lincoln Medical Center, New York, NY PP Moh, MD, MBA – Lincoln Medical Center, New York, NY F Mercado, BA, CNMT, ARRT(N), LNMT – Lincoln Medical Center, New York, NY RSNA 2015 - Quality Storyboards December 1st, 2015

Introduction

- Because of the unacceptably high rate of patient misidentification in health care, The Joint Commission (TJC) continues to recommend accurate patient identification as the #1 National Patient Safety Goal since 2003.¹
- TJC reviewed 152 *sentinel events* related to wrongpatient, wrong-site, and wrong-procedure events in 2011 alone.²
- The Pennsylvania Patient Safety Authority received 652 reports in Radiology in 2009 related to wrongpatient (30%), wrong-site (5%), wrong-side (15%) and wrong-procedure (50%) events across all modalities.³
- Actual frequency may be higher than what is reported in the literature.







Common causes of errors at imaging

1. Incorrect order or requisition:

- Site and laterality
- Study
- Contrast or pharmaceuticals

1. Scheduling errors:

- Failure to verify orders before or after scheduling
- Patient misidentified during scheduling

2. Communication errors:

- Pertinent history (e.g., allergies)
- Orders cancelled or changed
- Medical / surgical plan not conveyed

4. Failure to verify patient identity at imaging:

- Similar patient names
- Patient misunderstands name
- Patient not involved in identification
 process
- Failure to use two patient identifiers
- 5. Failure to verify site and procedure at time of imaging:
 - Site and laterality
 - Study
 - Contrast or pharmaceuticals





Time-out verification

- Adopted best practices from Interventional Radiology and Surgery, including Universal Protocol (UP) two-person "time-out" verification⁴:
 - Two patient identifiers
 - Verify site and laterality
 - Ensure proper patient identification is entered into imaging equipment
 - Verify patient positioning
 - Site marking with a sticker or adhesive tape
 - Require additional personnel be present during the verification process



Generations +Northern Manhattan Network Lincoln Medical and Mental Health Center Radiology Exam Vertication and Time Out	
Patient Name: Medical Record #: DOB:	
Date: Accession #:_ Patient Name:	Medical Record #: DOB:
L Procedure/Std Procedure/Stds:Date:	Accession #:
Contrast Order	
IV contrast used) □ Yes □ N/A 4) Team concurs on the B. Contrast Provide to be performed	
6) Pregnancy: For female patients between 11-55yrs., ask if patient is pregnant	
Pregnancy Status:: Yes (Set informed consent): CN (Proceed with exam)	
Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above	
Witness: Name Print:	
IV. After Scan: After scan, ensure the following are done, if applicable	
Document contrast given Ves DVA	
Sent to VRC D Yes D N/A Tech Initials:	
	8

	Generations +Northern Manhat Lincoln Medical and Mental He Radiology Exam Verification a	tan Network alth Center nd Time Out					
Patient Name:	Medical Record #:	_		I. Procedure/Site/Side	Verification:		
Date:	Accession #:	Proce	dure Name/Sites:				
		Cidell					
Procedure Name/Sites:	I. Procedure/Site/Side Ver	Side/L	_ateraiity:			Techia	
Side/Laterality:		-				Initial	Witness's Ini
Contrast Order	a Yes a	N Contra	ast Order	🗆 Yes	🗆 No 🗆 N/A		
ventied contrast expiration date	0 Tes	Verifie	ed contrast expiration date	Yes	N/A		
	II. "Time Out" - Final Verific	ation:	Tech's				
1) "Time Out" takes place at the p 2) Patient has been identified usin	rocedure location	e:					
IV contrast used)	Curate and completed (if	D N/A					
4) Team concurs on the A. Correct Procedure to be pe	rformed						
C. Correct Laterality D. Correct Site/Laterality mar	ked	D N/A					
 Pregnancy: For female patients patient is pregnant 	between 11-55yrs., ask if Pes	D N/A					
Pregnancy Status: Yes (Set informed consent) 🗆 No (Proceed wi	thexam) 🗆 Not	Sure (Consult with referring physician)				
Signa	ture/Acknowledge completion of a	ll of the steps	above				
Technologist: Name Print:	Sign:	Title:	Time:				
Witness: Name Print:	Sign:	Title:	Time:				
After scan, ensure the following as	IV. After Scan: e done, if applicable						
	_ Yes	D N/A					
Document contrast given							

Generations + Lincoln Medi Radiology Ex	Northern Manhattan Network al and Mental Health Center am Verification and Time Out	
Patient Name: Medica	I Record #: DOB:	
Date: Access	ion #:	
L Proced Procedure Name/Sites:	II. "Time Out" - Final Verification:	
Side/Laterality:	Time: Initial	Witness's Initial
Contrast Order	^{a v} 1) "Time Out" takes place at the procedure location	
Verified contrast expiration date	2) Patient has been identified using 2 identifiers	
II. "Time C	but" - Final	
1) "Time Out" takes place at the procedure location	3) Patient Safety Flow Sheet is accurate and completed (If	
2) Patient has been identified using 2 identifiers	[™] IV contrast used) □ Yes □ N/A	
 Patient Safety Flow Sheet is accurate and complete IV contrast used) 	ed (if	
4) Team concurs on the	4) Team concurs on the	
A. Correct Procedure to be performed B. Correct Site.	A. Correct Procedure to be performed	
C. Correct Laterality.	B. Correct Site Yes	
D. Conect She Calenany <u>marked</u>	C. Correct Laterality Yes N/A	
 Pregnancy: For female patients between 11-obyrs., patient is pregnant 	D. Correct Site/Laterality marked	
Pregnancy Status: p Yes (Get informed consent)	c No (Proc	
Signature/&cknowledge	5) Pregnancy: For female patients between 11-55yrs., ask if	
Technologist: Name Print: Sig	patient is pregnant	
Witness: Name Print: Siz	n	
After scap, apsure the following are done, if applicable	IV. After se Pregnancy Status: Yes (Get informed consent) No (Proceed with exam) Not Sure (Consult with	referring physician)
Document contrast given.		
Sent to VRC.		
Tech II	ntials:	
	10	
	10	

Output Market Name Parter Name						
Burgene Rightens Rightens Rightens Rightens Baren Henner	XAT					
Pater Middle Record # 00 Dim	Generations +Northern Manhattan Netwo					
Patent Name:	Lincoln Medical and Mental Health Cente Radiology Exam Verification and Time Or	t.				
Date	Patient Name: Medical Record #:	DOB:				
L Procedure NameTors:	Date: Accession #:					
Pidedate Nationality:	L Procedure/Site/Side Verification:					
Contrast Order User Date of Data User Date of Data Image: Contrast expiration date User Date of Data User Date of Data Image: Contrast expiration date User Date of Data User Date of Data Image: Contrast expiration date User Date of Data User Date of Data Image: Contrast expiration date User Date of Data User Date of Data Image: Contrast expiration date User Date of Data User Data Image: Contrast expiration date User Data User Data Image: Contrast expiration data User Data Data Im	Procedure Name/Sites:					
Contrast order Contrast explore at the procedure location Contrast explore at the procedure location 1) "Thee Out" - Final Verification: Test: Test: 1) "Thee Out" - Stard Verification: Test: Test: 1) "Thee Out" - Stard Verification: Test: Test: 1) "Thee Out" - Stard Verification: Yes N/A 2) Patient has been indentified using 2 dentifiers. D Yes N/A 4) Test: for the Stard Procedure to be performed. D Yes N/A 4) Test: for the Stard Procedure to be performed. D Yes N/A 6) Correct Listrative models to be performed. D Yes N/A 7) Correct Constance Procedure to be performed. D Yes N/A 9) Correct Constance Procedure to be performed. D Yes N/A 9) Correct Constance Procedure to be performed. D Yes N/A 9) Correct Constance Procedure to be procedure to be procedure to be procedure to an other start of the		Tech's Witness's Initial Initial				
IL-Time Out* - Fhall Verification: 1) Time: Out* skees place at the procedure location: 1 Witness's Initial 1) Time: Out* skees place at the procedure location: 1 Witness's Initial 1) Patient Safety Flow Sheet is accurate and completed (if 1 Witness's Initial 1) Time: Out* skees place at the procedure location: 1 Witness's Initial 1) Patient Safety Flow Sheet is accurate and completed (if 1 Witness's Initial 1) Time: Out* skees place at the procedure location: 1 Witness's Initial 1) Time: Out* skees place at the procedure location: 1 Witness: Name Print: Sign: Title: Time: Mitness: 1) Correct Siles. 1 Witness: Name Print: Sign: Title: Time: Time: Mitness: 1) Correct Siles. 1 Witness: Name Print: Sign: Title: Name: Print: Sign: Title: Time: Sign: Title: Time: Sign: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Witness: Name Print: Sign: Title: Sign: Title: Name: Print: Sign: Title: Name: Print: Sign: Title: Name: Print: Sign: Title: Name: Print: Sign: Title: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Note: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Note: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Viet: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Note: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Viet: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the steps above Output title: Signature/	Contrast Order					
1) Time Out takes place at the procedure location i test 1) Time Out takes place at the procedure location i test 2) Patient Base methoding using 2 destifiers iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	II. "Time Out" - Final Verification:					
2) Patient Steen Ste	Time:	Initial Witness's Initial				
3) Platent Safety They Globel is accurate and completed (if N entratives)	2) Patient has been identified using 2 identifiers					
4) Same concurs on the	3) Patient Safety Flow Sheet is accurate and completed (if IV contrast used)	S	ignature/Acknowledge completion of all	of the steps abov	re	
B. Correct Starship D'Ve	4) Team concurs on the A. Correct Procedure to be performed Yes	Technologist: Name Print:	Sign:	Title:	Time:	
D. Correct services Learning marked on the services of th	B. Correct Site					
IV. After Scan: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the sign: Technologist: Name Print: Sign: Tag IV. After Scan: IV. After Scan: <td colspa<="" td=""><td>5) Prennancy: For female nationts between 11-55vrs. ask if</td><td>Witness: Name Print:</td><td> Sign:</td><td> Title:</td><td> Time:</td></td>	<td>5) Prennancy: For female nationts between 11-55vrs. ask if</td> <td>Witness: Name Print:</td> <td> Sign:</td> <td> Title:</td> <td> Time:</td>	5) Prennancy: For female nationts between 11-55vrs. ask if	Witness: Name Print:	Sign:	Title:	Time:
Prepundy Status: : : "Set (64 intermed consent) = the (Proceed with exant = After scan, ensure the following are done, if applicable Signature: Acknowledge completion of all of the state Document contrast given	patient is pregnant Pas N/A		IV. After Scan:			
Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the st Technologis: Name Print: Gipt: Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the st Witheas: Name Print: Sign: Nr.After scan. Nr.After scan. Note: Nr.A Document contrast given. Yes Notation of the scan. Nr.A Document contrast given. Yes Sent to VRC. Tech Initials: Tech Initials: Nr.A	Pregnancy Status: Yes (Get informed consent) No (Proceed with exam)	After scan, ensure the following	ng are done, if applicable			
Technologist: Name Print:Sign:Tray Document contracts given	Signature/Acknowledge completion of all of the ste	Decument contract diven				
Witness: Name Print: Sign: Till Sent to VRC	Technologist: Name Print: Sign: Title	Document contrast given	L Tes			
After scan, ensure the following are done, if applicable Des NA Tech Initials: Document contrast given	Witness: Name Print: Tit	Sent to VRC	□ Yes	D N/A		
Document contrast given	After scan, ensure the following are done, if applicable		Tech Initials:			
Sent to VRC	Document contrast given Yes N/A					
	Sent to VRC Pes DNA Tech Initials:					



Implementing the new process

- Technologists' ability to delete images from PACS was suspended pending review by a supervisor.
- To curtail underreporting and increase transparency, technologists were encouraged to report all good-catches and near-misses.
- Briefings during daily huddles reinforced adherence to the new protocol.

Evaluation methodology

- We initially evaluated adherence to the new process by daily review of verification forms.
- After the first three months, adherence to time-out forms was 100%.
- We then replaced review of these forms with random direct observations of technologists:
 - \circ 5-6 observations per shift \rightarrow three shifts daily
 - Supervisor directly observes techs completing exams
- Staff that normally aids the tech in performing the verifications was also encouraged to report instances when the verification process was not performed correctly.



Evaluation methodology (cont.)

- Also evaluated effects on patient flow by review of our wait times, from order placement to performance during ED, inpatient, and outpatient studies.
- Patient outcomes were defined as the number of wrongpatient, wrong-site, or wrong-exam events that occurred after initiation of the new verification procedure.
- Monitoring was also performed via daily reporting of near-misses and good-catches to the Patient Safety Office.





Results

- Initial evaluation of compliance of the new process with review of verification forms yielded 100% compliance within 3 months.
- Compliance as documented by random direct observations yielded 100% compliance within the first month and has since maintained that level of compliance.
- Infrequent reports of staff not following verification correctly or sequentially (Figure 1).



Results (cont.)

- Wait times from order to performance initially increased from 18 minutes to 27 minutes after implementation (July 2014).
- This is still below our 30-minute threshold, but nonetheless a significant increase in wait time.
- January 2015 saw increased wait times due to short-staffing.
- Wait times have since returned to 20 minutes, approximating the previous baseline (Figure 2).



Results (cont.)

- Between July 2014 and October 2015, we have not experienced any wrong-patient, wrong-site, or wrong-examination events.
- This covers more than 200,000 diagnostic imaging studies to date.
- Additionally, the radiology department has reported the highest number of good catches by any single department within the hospital (Figure 3).



Figure 3

Impact on Health Community and Organization

- This project focused on a proactive learning process that helped a multidisciplinary team by working together on RCA, FMEA, and PDSA in order to achieve a very high level of excellence in eliminating errors at imaging.
- The goals of this project align with the Health and Hospital Corporation (HHC) priorities of improving patient experience and provides every patient access to error-free imaging. This improves satisfaction and therefore the ability to retain more patients presenting for radiology procedures.
- These performance improvements have been completed without any impact on resource management, financial resources, or delays in access to imaging.
- This has since been adopted as a hospital-wide performance improvement project that serves to demonstrate to all staff on how to perform two-patient identifiers and reduce errors to enhance patient safety in all other areas.



Challenges

- A major challenge was implementation of the two-person verification process.
- Time-out requires a second technologist or nurse be called by the primary technologist, taking time away from "their own work" to verify the technologist performs the verification process correctly. This applies to medical staff within the Radiology department as well as the wards and ED.
- · Stress is particularly acute when multiple exams are ordered for a single patient.
- Complacency-resulting in shortcuts-is also a constant concern.
- Patients may perceive finding additional staff for two-person verification was a "delay" in the examination.
- An additional challenge was imaging errors that occurred if there was an interruption between initial verification and performance of the procedure.

Solutions

- What began as an extra step in the imaging process has now become part of our standard protocol.
- Instead of the technologist searching for someone to help with the 1-2 minute verification, it became
 apparent that it was mutually beneficial for all staff to help each other during the verification process.
- · Other simple strategies have included:
 - Using examples of near-misses as educational tools to remind staff that the potential for errors always exists.
 - o Using high-reliability as a topic of discussion during staff meetings as often as possible.
 - Encouraging staff to help and observe other staff members, and to give feedback whenever necessary.
 - Promote the benefits of reporting and discussing near-misses, and encourage staff to report near-misses. Awareness by staff that these errors will first be evaluated as areas for improvement in our process has improved the culture of safety in the department as evidenced by the increased reporting of "good catches" since this process began.

Conclusion

- To date, implementation of Universal Protocol standards has markedly reduced wrong-patient, wrongsite, and wrong-examination events.
- Performance improvements were completed without a significant impact on resource management, financial resources, or delays at imaging:
 - Once staff learned to work together proactively, delays were limited.
- Our study goals align with priorities of improving patient experience and safety. Improvements in patient
 satisfaction subsequently increases the ability to retain more patients presenting for radiology
 procedures.

23

Limitations / Areas of improvement

- We are yet to address the issue of incorrect orders placed by providers:
 - Good-catches data supports this is a common source for errors at imaging.
 - This has since led to a quality improvement initiative by the Department of Medicine to evaluate why physicians order incorrect imaging studies.
 - Preliminary data points to technical difficulties within the EMR as well as to interruptions in ordering.
- We are yet to address scheduling or communication errors prior to imaging.

References

- "National Patient Safety Goals Effective January 1, 2015." The Joint Commission. Jan 2015. (http://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/2015_NPSG_HAP.pdf) "Summary Data of Sentinel Events Reviewed by the Joint Commission." The Joint Commission. Dec 2012. (http://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/2004_4Q_2012_SE_Stats_Summary.pdf) Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. "Applying the Universal Protocol to Improve Patient Safety in Radiology Services." Pa Patient Saf Advis 2011, Jun; 8(2):63-9 (http://patientsafetyauthority.org/ADVISORIES/AdvisoryLibrary/2011/jun8(2)/Pages/63.aspx) "WHO Surgical Safety Checklist: for radiological interventions only." National Health Service. Reference number 1121. Apr 2010. (http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/?entryid45=73612)